

wherein the processor includes:

classification allocation means for allocating potential classifications to each said unclassified example and for generating a plurality of classification sets, each said classification set containing said plurality of training classified examples and said at least one unclassified example with its said allocated potential classification;

B1
assay means for determining a strangeness value valid under the iid assumption for each said classification set;

A1
a comparative device for selecting the classification set to which the most likely allocated potential classification for said at least one unclassified example belongs, wherein said predicted classification output by the output terminal is said most likely allocated classification according to said strangeness values assigned by said assay means; and

a strength of prediction monitoring device for determining a confidence value for said predicted classification on the basis of said strangeness value assigned by said assay means to one of said classification sets to which the second most likely allocated potential classification of said at least one unclassified example belongs.

11. Data classification apparatus as claimed in claim 10, wherein said processor further includes an example valuation device which determines individual strangeness values for each said training classified example and said at least one unclassified example having an allocated potential classification.

12. Data classification apparatus as claimed in claim 11, wherein Lagrange multipliers are used to determine said individual strangeness values.

13. Data classification apparatus as claimed in claim 11, wherein said assay means determines a strangeness value for each said classification set in dependence on said individual strangeness values of each said example.

14. Data classification apparatus comprising:
an input device for receiving a plurality of training classified examples and at least one unclassified example;

a memory for storing said classified and unclassified examples;

stored programs including an example classification program;

an output terminal for outputting a predicted classification for said at least one unclassified example; and

a processor controlled by said stored programs for identifying the predicted classification of said at least one unclassified example,

wherein said processor includes:

classification allocation means for allocating potential classifications to each said unclassified example and for generating a plurality of classification sets, each said classification set containing said plurality of training classified examples and said at least one unclassified example with its allocated potential classification;

assay means for determining a strangeness value valid under the iid assumption for each said classification set;

a comparative device for selecting the classification set to which the most likely allocated potential classification for said at least one unclassified example belongs, wherein the predicted classification output by said output terminal is the most likely allocated potential classification according to said strangeness values assigned by said assay means and

a strength of prediction monitoring device for determining a confidence value for said predicted classification on the basis of said strangeness value assigned by said assay means to one of said classification sets to which the second most likely allocated potential classification of said at least one unclassified example belongs.

15. A data classification method comprising:

inputting a plurality of training classified examples and at least one unclassified example;

identifying a predicted classification of said at least one unclassified example which includes,

allocating potential classifications to each said unclassified example;

generating a plurality of classification sets, each said classification set containing said plurality of training classified examples and said at least one unclassified example with its allocated potential classification;

determining a strangeness value valid under the iid assumption for each said classification set;

selecting the said classification set to which the most likely allocated potential classification for said at least one unclassified example belongs, wherein said predicted classification is the most likely allocated potential classification in dependence on said strangeness values;

determining a confidence value for said predicted classification on the basis of the strangeness value assigned to one of said classification sets to which the second most likely allocated potential classification for said at least one unclassified example belongs; and

outputting said predicted classification for said at least one unclassified example and said confidence value for said predicted classification.

16. A data classification method as claimed in claim 15, further including determining individual strangeness values for each said training classified example and said at least one unclassified example having an allocated potential classification.

17. A data classification method as claimed in claim 15, wherein said selected classification set is selected without the application of any general rules determined from the said training set.

18. A data carrier on which is stored a classification program for classifying data by performing the following steps:

In re Application of: Gammerman et al.
Attorney Docket No. 211163


generating a plurality of classification sets, each said classification set containing a plurality of training classified examples and at least one unclassified example that has been allocated a potential classification;

determining a strangeness value valid under the iid assumption for each said classification set;

selecting the classification set to which the most likely allocated potential classification for the said at least one unclassified example belongs, wherein the predicted classification is the most likely allocated potential classification in dependence on said strangeness values; and

determining a confidence value for said predicted classification on the basis of said strangeness value assigned to one of said classification sets to which the second most likely allocated potential classification for said at least one unclassified example belongs.

Respectfully submitted,



Dennis R. Schlemmer, Reg. No. 24,703
One of the Attorneys for Applicant(s)
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: May 8, 2001